BIBFRAME editors in the future

A Discussion

Operational editors

- Sinopia

- Marva

- JCricket

- These *operational* editors have been in use for several years, and exhibit different approaches to the BIBFRAME editing environment.

- They were developed with catalogers who have refined MARC editing for 30+ years and the vision of these catalogers was therefore influenced by the flatness and heavy coding of the MARC data.

- RDF, FRBR, and RDA are not flat

- In this session we want to open up our vision to look at what an editor in the future might look like, if not strongly influenced by the editors of the past.

Discussion questions

- What works in the current BF editors, what does not (or what could be better), what enhancements are needed?
- How important are standalone "editors?" Wikibase approach is more web-based, e.g.

- How do we stay simple in the complex, linked environment?
- Web-based input conventions, such as lookups, increase accuracy but take longer to enter sometimes than a simple string. Are there new input innovations that might make creation faster without sacrificing accuracy?
- Hubs, Works, and Instances are individual parts of the MARC "unit record." Should the ability to manage these components separately change cataloging workflows?

- Are there aspects of AI that might be used?
  - Automated or assisted subject cataloging. Dream or reality?
  - Auto-generated, high-confidence relationships. Dream or reality?